



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/526,920      | 03/16/2000  | David Le Febre       | T8526               | 1445             |

7590 12/04/2003  
Clifton W Thompson  
Thorpe North & Western LLP  
P O Box 1219  
Sandy, UT 84901-1291

EXAMINER  
STARSIK, JOHN S

ART UNIT 1753  
PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14

# **Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/526,920

Applicant(s)

LE FEBRE, DAVID

Examiner

John Starsiak

Art Unit

1753

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nam X Nguyen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Clifton Thompson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 December 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Thompson requested that the final rejection of the claims under 112/first paragraph be reconsidered since the specification clearly supports for what is claimed in claims 1-62. Mr. Nguyen suggested that applicants should proceed with a request for consideration to the examiner for reconsidering these issues including any other remaining issues in the final rejection such as art rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Nam X Nguyen  
Examiner's signature, if required